41

MAR 3 1 2004 E

Date: March 29, 2004

PATENT

		IN THE U	UNITED STATES I	PATENT A	AND TRAD	EMARK OFFICE				
In re	applica	tion of	Israel RUBINSTE	IN, et al.						
Serial No.:		09/922,220			Group No.:	1743				
Filed	:	August 3,	2001	I	Examiner:	Lyle A. Alexander				
For:	A CHEMIC		ICAL SUBSTANCE	AND APPARATUS FOR DETECTING AND QUANTIFYING CAL SUBSTANCE EMPLOYING AN OPTICAL TRANSMISSION Y OF METALLIC ISLANDS ON A TRANSPARENT SUBSTRATE						
P.O	Box 14	ner for Pat 450 VA 22313								
			AMENDM	ENT TRA	NSMITTA	L				
WARNING:		Failure to file a complete response in compliance with § 1.135(c) leads to a reduction in patent term adjustment - See § 1.704(c)(7).								
1.	Trans	mitted here	ewith is an amendme	ent for this	application.					
				STATUS						
2.		Applicant is								
	Ø	a small e	entity. A statement:	:						
		other tha	n a small entity.							
		(Who	CERTIFICATION Using Express Mail, the Express Mail		ail label numbe					
I hereby	certify t	hat, on the da	te shown below, this cor	respondence i	s being:					
⊠	•		United States Postal Se lexandria, VA 22313-		nvelope addr	essed to the Commissioner for Patents,				
37 C.F.R. 1.8(a)					37 C.F.R. 1.10*					
⊠	with su	fficient posta	ge as first class mail.	RANSMISSI	Ma	'Express Mail Post Office to Address'' iling Label No (mandatory)				
						V				

Signature

JULIAN H. COHEN (type or print name of person certifying)

Only the date of filing (§ 1.6) will be the date used in a patent term adjustment calculation, although the date on any certificate of mailing or transmission under § 1.8 continues to be taken into account in determining timeliness. See § 1.703(f). Consider "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" (§ 1.10) or facsimile transmission (§ 1.6(d)) for the reply to be accorded the earliest possible filing date for patent term adjustment calculations.

transmitted by facsimile to the Patent and Trademark Office

EXTENSION OF TERM

NOTE:	E: "Extension of Time in Patent Cases (Supplement Amendments) — If a timely and complete response has been f after a Non-Final Office Action, an extension of time is not required to permit filing and/or entry of an additionamendment after expiration of the shortened statutory period.									
	If a timely response has been filed after a Final Office Action, an extension of time is required to permit filing and/or entry of a Notice of Appeal or filing and/or entry of an additional amendment after expiration of the shortened statutory period unless the timely-filed response placed the application in condition for allowance. Of course, if a Notice of Appeal has been filed within the shortened statutory period, the period has ceased to run." Notice of December 10, 1985 (1061 O.G. 34-35).									
NOTE:	See 37 C.F.R. $\S 1.645$ for extensions of time in interference proceedings, and 37 C.F.R. $\S 1.550(c)$ for extensions of time in reexamination proceedings.									
NOTE:	37 C.F.R. § 1.704(b)" an applicant shall be deemed to have failed to engage in reasonable efforts to conclude processing or examination of an application for the cumulative total of any periods of time in excess of three months that are taken to reply to any notice or action by the Office making any rejection, objection, argument, or other request, measuring such three-month period from the date the notice or action was mailed or given to the applicant, in which case the period of adjustment set forth in § 1.703 shall be reduced by the number of days, if any, beginning on the day after the date that is three months after the date of mailing or transmission of the Office communication notifying the applicant of the rejection, objection, argument, or other request and ending on the date the reply was filed. The period, or shortened statutory period, for reply that is set in the Office action or notice has no effect on the three-month period set forth in this paragraph."									
3.	The pro	oceeding	gs herein are for a	patent appl	ication and	the provisions of	37 C.F.R. 1.136 apply.			
			(comp	lete (a) or (b), as appli	cable)				
	(a) Applicant petitions for an extension of time under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 (fees: 37 C.F.R. 1.17(a)(1)-(4)) for the total number of months checked below:									
	Extension (months)				e for other all entity	than	Fee for small entity			
		one mo	onth	\$	110.00		\$ 55.00			
		two me	onths	\$	420.00		\$ 210.00			
		three n	nonths	\$	950.00		\$ 475.00			
		four m	onths	\$	1,480.00		\$ 740.00			
				L	Fee:	\$				
If an ac	dditional	extensi	on of time is requ	uired, please	e consider t	his a petition the	refor.			
			(check and co	omplete the	next item, į	f applicable)				
	An extension for months has already been secured. The fee paid therefor of \$ is deducted from the total fee due for the total months of extension now requested.									
			Extension fee d	ue with this	request \$ _					
	OR									
	(b) Applicant believes that no extension of term is required. However, this is a									

conditional petition being made to provide for the possibility that applicant has

inadvertently overlooked the need for a petition for extension of time.

FEE FOR CLAIMS

4. The fee for claims (37 C.F.R. 1.16(b)-(d)) has been calculated as shown below:

	(Col. 1)	(Col. 2) (Col. 3)		SMALL ENTITY		OTHER THAN A SMALL ENTITY		
	Re	Claims emaining After nendment	Highest No. Previously Paid For	Present Extra	Rate	Addit. Fee	OR	Rate	Addit. Fee
Total	*	Minus	**	=	x \$ 9=	\$		x \$ 18=	\$
Indep	*	Minus	***	=	x \$ 43=	\$		x \$ 86=	\$
□First Presentation of Multiple Dependent Claims + \$145= \$ + \$290=							\$.		
Total Total Addit. Fee \$ OR Addit. Fee \$									\$
* If the entry in Col. 1 is less than the entry in Col. 2, write "O" in Col. 3, ** If the "Highest No. Previously Paid For" IN THIS SPACE is less than 20, enter "20". *** If the "Highest No. Previously Paid For" IN THIS SPACE is less than 3, enter "3". The "Highest No. Previously Paid For" (Total or Indep.) is the highest number found in the appropriate box in Col. 1 of a prior amendment or the number of claims originally filed. **WARNING: "After final rejection or action (§ 1.113) amendments may be made canceling claims or complying with any requirement of form which has been made." 37 C.F.R. 1.116(a) (emphasis added).									
			(comple	te (c) or (d),	as applica	ble)			
(c) No additional fee for claims is required.									
OR									
(d)						\$			
FEE PAYMENT									
5.		Charge A	is a check in the	<u>0425</u> the sun	of \$				

A duplicate of this transmittal is attached.



FEE DEFICIENCY

If there is a fee deficiency and there is no authorization to charge an account, additional fees are necessary to cover the additional time consumed in making up the original deficiency. If the maximum, six-month period has expired before the deficiency is noted and corrected, the application is held abandoned. In those instances where authorization to charge is included, processing delays are encountered in returning the papers to the PTO Finance Branch in order to apply these charges prior to action on the cases. Authorization to charge the deposit account for any fee deficiency should be checked. See the Notice of April 7, 1986, (1065 O.G. 31-33).

6.
☐ If any additional extension and/or fee is required, charge Account No. 12-0425.

AND/OR

If any additional fee for claims is required, charge Account No. 12-0425

SIGNATURE OF PRACTITIONER

Reg. No. 20,302

JULIAN H. COHEN
(type or print name of practitioner)

Tel. No. 212-708-1887

P.O. Address

Customer No.

c/o Ladas & Parry
26 West 61 Street

New York, N.Y. 10023



PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Israel RUBINSTEIN, et al.

Serial No.: 09/922,220

Group No.: 1743

Filed:

August 3, 2001

Examiner: Lyle A. Alexander

For:

METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR DETECTING AND QUANTIFYING

A CHEMICAL SUBSTANCE EMPLOYING AN OPTICAL TRANSMISSION PROPERTY OF METALLIC ISLANDS ON A TRANSPARENT SUBSTRATE

Attorney Docket No.: U 013579-0

Commissioner for Patents P. O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

<u>AMENDMENT</u>

In response to the Official Action of March 12, 2004 and in particular to the election requirement therein, applicant elects the invention designated by Group I inclusive of claims 1-68, 103-132, 176 and 178 drawn to a method and apparatus for identification of a single chemical substance adsorbed on a substrate. It is requested that the claims to the non-elected inventions of

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING (37 CFR 1.8a)

I hereby certify that this paper (along with any paper referred to as being attached or enclosed) is being deposited with the United States Postal Service on the date shown below with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to the: Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231

JULIAN H. COHEN

(Type or print name of person mailing paper)

Date: March 29, 2004

(Signature of person mailing paper)



Groups II and III be held in abeyance pending applicant's decision as to the filing of a divisional application thereto.

It is requested that examination of the application proceed on the basis of the election which has been made hereinabove.

Respectfully submitted,

JULÌXN H. COHEN C/O LADAS & PARRY 26 WEST 61ST STREET

NEW YORK, N.Y. 10023

REG. NO. 20302 - 212-708-1887